	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/600,400	BUHLER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel C Crane	3725
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Amended</u>		
(1) <u>Daniel C Crane</u> .	(3)	
(2) Randy Noranbrock.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>15 December 2003</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicat  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		•
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 28, 31 and 45		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	3 DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writtone ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
<b>A</b>		
Camalle.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that claim 28 was incomplete for failing to include the pressure applying means, such means facilitating the cold forging of the workpiece. Accordingly, a new paragraph(iv) was added to complete the sujbect matter. Claim 31 was amended for clarity purposes due to the inclusion of the pressure applying means in claim 28. Similarly, claim 45 was amended to correlate the action of the pressing piston to the forging pressure.